Case 2:24-cv-06460-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed 07/31/24 Page 1 of 19 Page ID #:1 Scott C. Glovsky, Bar No. 170477 1 Email: sglovsky@scottglovskylaw.com Julia Zalba Seltz, Bar No. 166717 2 Email: jseltz@scottglovskylaw.com LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT C. GLOVSKY, APC 3 343 Harvard Avenue Claremont, CA 91711 4 Website: www.scottglovsky.com Telephone: (626) 243-5598 5 Facsimile: (866) 243-2243 6 Christian Garris, Bar No. 175808 Email: cjg@christiangarris.com 7 LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTIAN GARRIS 633 West Fifth Street, 28th Floor 8 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 624-2900 9 Facsimile: (213) 624-2901 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SPERO KOULOURAS, on behalf of Case No.: 2:24-cv-06460 15 himself and all others similarly situated; **CLASS ACTION** 16 Plaintiff. **COMPLAINT FOR BENEFITS.** 17 **DETERMINATION OF RIGHTS,** VS. **AND BREACH OF FIDUCIARY** 18 UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES, **DUTY UNDER ERISA** INC.:UNITEDHEALTH GROUP. INC.: 19 UNITEDHEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY; 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 Plaintiff Spero Koulouras, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, herein 25 sets forth the allegations of his Complaint against Defendant UnitedHealth Group, Inc. 26 ("UnitedHealth Group") and its subsidiaries, Defendants United HealthCare Services, Inc. 27 ("United HealthCare Services") and UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company 28 1

("UnitedHealthcare").

2

1

INTRODUCTION

1. Defendant UnitedHealth Group, Inc. owns UnitedHealthcare organizations in 3 California and many other states, as well as other subsidiaries. Through its wholly owned 4 subsidiaries, including Defendants United HealthCare Services and UnitedHealthcare Insurance 5 Company, UnitedHealth Group, Inc. acts as a fully integrated company that is in the business of 6 insuring and/or administering group health plans within the meaning of 29 Code of Federal 7 Regulations § 2560.503-1(m) (both fully insured and self-insured), most of which are employer-8 sponsored and governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 9 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Those ERISA-governed health plans are hereinafter referred to as 10"UnitedHealth plans". 11

2. With respect to all UnitedHealth plans, UnitedHealthcare serves as the claim 12 administrator, responsible for determining whether claims are covered and effectuating 13 any resulting benefit payment. United HealthCare Services provides administrative 14 services to UnitedHealthcare as the claim administrator. UnitedHealth Group aids 15 UnitedHealthcare and United HealthCare Services in their duties by, among other things, 16 participating with UnitedHealthcare and United HealthCare Services in the development 17 of coverage guidelines, collaborating with UnitedHealthcare and United HealthCare 18 Services on decisions regarding the types of claims that will be approved or denied, 19 including the denials of the claims alleged herein, and assisting UnitedHealthcare and 20 United HealthCare Services in carrying out their various other duties. As such, Defendants 21 UnitedHealth Group, Inc., United HealthCare Services, Inc., and UnitedHealthcare 22 Insurance Company (jointly "UnitedHealth") have acted as ERISA fiduciaries with respect 23 to all UnitedHealth plans, including Plaintiff's plan. 24

3. Plaintiff brings this action to address UnitedHealth's practice of improperly
denying claims for non-invasive ventilators ("NIV") for the care of patients with
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis ("ALS") under UnitedHealth plans.

1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action is brought under 29 U.S.C. §§1132(a), (e), (f), and (g) as it
 involves claims by Plaintiff for employee benefits under employee benefit plans regulated
 and governed by ERISA. Subject matter jurisdiction is predicated under these code
 sections as well as 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this action involves a federal question.

5. The court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because ERISA
provides for nationwide service of process, and each Defendant has minimum contacts
with the United States. 29 U.S.C. § 11322(e)(2).

6. Plaintiff's health plan that is the subject of this lawsuit was administered in 9 Orange County, the denial letters to plaintiff were issued in Orange County, and 10Defendants regularly conduct business in Orange County. Therefore, the claims of 11 Plaintiff and the putative class arise out of policies Defendants issued, administered, 12 and/or implemented in this District, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the 13 claims asserted herein occurred in this District. Thus, venue is proper in this judicial 14 district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2) (setting forth special 15 venue rules applicable to ERISA actions). 16

17

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff was at all relevant times covered under an employer-sponsored
 benefit plan regulated by ERISA and pursuant to which Plaintiff is entitled to health
 benefits. Plaintiff resides in Yuba City, California. Plaintiff's employer, CrossFire Group
 LLC, is a corporation with its principal place of business in Oakland County, Michigan.
 8. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. and United HealthCare Services, Inc. are
 corporations with their principal place of business in Minnetonka, Minnesota.

UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company is a corporation with its principal place of business
in Hartford, Connecticut. UnitedHealth Group, Inc., United HealthCare Services, Inc., and
UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company administer and make benefit determinations related

26 UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company administer and make benefit determinations related

to ERISA group health care plans around the country. As alleged below, Plaintiff's claim

28 was denied in a letter that stated the claims decision was made in UnitedHealth's Cypress

office in Orange County, California within this District and Plaintiff's appeal was denied
 in a letter that said the appeal decision was made in the same office within this District.
 Thus, Plaintiff's claim was administered within this District.

- 9. UnitedHealth Group, Inc., United HealthCare Services, Inc., and
 UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company do not operate independently and in their own
 interests, but serve solely to fulfill the purpose, goals, and policies of each other.
- 7
- 8

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Noninvasive ventilation ("NIV") devices.

10. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis ("ALS") is a progressive neurodegenerative 9 disease that causes a loss of muscle control. ALS affects the nerve cells that control 10voluntary muscle movements, known as motor neurons, causing them to deteriorate and 11 eventually die. Once these neurons are damaged, the brain can no longer send messages to 12 the muscles. ALS symptoms can start with small muscle twitching or weakness, but as the 13 disease progresses, the muscles are eventually unable to function. Patients begin to lose 14 control of the muscles needed for moving, speaking, eating, and breathing. Most people 15 with ALS ultimately pass away from respiratory failure, as their body can no longer 16 control the muscles needed to breathe. 17

11. Because of the effect of ALS on breathing, one of the most important 18 aspects of prolonging the life of ALS patients is addressing their ability to breathe. To 19 combat the loss of breathing muscle control, many ALS patients use ventilators. There are 20 different types of ventilation that can be used with ALS patients. For the more advanced 21 cases, in which a patient's muscles are nearly unable to control breathing, the patient may 22 require invasive ventilation through a tracheostomy. The patient undergoes a procedure to 23 reroute their trachea through the skin of their throat, forming a permanent opening. Air is 24 then forced into the central airways via a tracheostomy tube, and the ventilator breathes for 25 the patient. 26

27 12. However, many ALS patients do not need the full support of invasive
28 ventilation. Patients may require support for, but not replacement of, their breathing. Also,

patients may need support but not continuous 24/7 support. Invasive ventilation keeps 1 patients tied to their ventilators, greatly limiting their mobility and ability to leave their 2 homes. There are also complications related to permanent tracheostomies-surgical 3 complications, potential infections, required stoma hygiene practices, etc. Invasive 4 ventilation is typically reserved for the more advanced cases of ALS, in cases where there 5 are no more conservative options available. For those patients who do not require as much 6 support as invasive ventilation offers, noninvasive ventilation is a great option. They can 7 receive the breathing support they need without the limitations and complications that 8 invasive ventilation brings. 9

10 13. Noninvasive ventilation has been scientifically proven to prolong the life of
ALS patients, and it significantly improves their quality of life as well. ALS patients can
get the appropriate level of breathing support at all stages between the weakening of their
breathing muscles and the point where they would require invasive ventilation to replace
their breathing. Access to noninvasive ventilation is essential for ALS patients, preventing
unnecessary complications and restriction of the patient's activities until absolutely
necessary.

B. UnitedHealth's categorical denial of non-invasive ventilation as not
 medically necessary.

19 14. UnitedHealth plans cover medical services on an inpatient, outpatient, and
20 in-home basis to treat illness and injury. They provide payment for the diagnosis, cure,
21 mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease. As part of these benefits, UnitedHealth
22 plans cover the cost of life-sustaining durable medical equipment for those suffering from
23 chronic or terminal illnesses.

15. In reviewing and deciding claims under UnitedHealth plans, UnitedHealth
utilizes internal coverage guidelines called "Medical Policies". The Medical Policies are
not themselves part of UnitedHealth's plans, but rather are interpretive guidelines used by
UnitedHealth to assist it in making fact-based determinations regarding whether certain
medical services fall within a particular contractual term or exclusion that precludes or

Case 2:24-cv-06460-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed 07/31/24 Page 6 of 19 Page ID #:6 limits coverage. 1 16. UnitedHealth plans exclude from coverage those medical services that 2 UnitedHealth considers "not medically necessary". Plaintiff's UnitedHealthcare Certificate 3 of Coverage provides the following definition of "medically necessary"-4 Health care services provided for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing 5 or treating a Sickness, Injury, Mental Illness, substance use disorder, condition, disease or its symptoms, that are all of the following as determined solely by us or our designee. 6 In accordance with Generally Accepted Standards of Medical Practice. 7 Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for your Sickness, Injury, Mental Illness, substance 8 use disorder, disease or its symptoms. No more costly than an alternative drug, service(s) or supply that is at least as 9 likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of your Sickness, Injury, disease or symptoms. 10 Generally Accepted Standards of Medical Practice are standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, relying primarily on controlled 11 clinical trials, or if not available, observational studies from more than one institution 12 that suggest a causal relationship between the service or treatment and health outcomes. 13 UnitedHealth's Medical Policy, "Durable Medical Equipment, Orthotics, 17. 14 Medical Supplies, and Repairs/Replacements" MP.009.29 and earlier versions of this 15 policy (collectively referred to hereinafter as "Medical Policy MP.009.29" or 16 "MP.009.29") upon which Plaintiff's claim was denied, states, "Ventilators are covered to 17 treat neuromuscular diseases, thoracic restrictive diseases, and chronic respiratory failure 18 consequent to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease." Despite ALS being a relevant 19 neuromuscular disease, the following criteria are specific to sleep apnea and COPD, 20 neglecting the specific needs of ALS patients. MP.009.29 goes on to state that ventilators 21 are not medically necessary when used for the treatment of a condition wherein 22 interruption of respiratory support would not immediately lead to serious harm or death. 23 UnitedHealth has developed Medical Policies with respect to certain types of medical 24 treatment for certain types of medical conditions, while failing to consider the different 25 needs of different medical conditions, such as ALS. ALS patients utilizing noninvasive 26 ventilation may not face immediate life-threatening consequences from the interruption of 27 respiratory support, however the long-term lack of ventilation can lead to an increased rate 28 6

of muscle deterioration, lower quality of life, and higher likelihood of needing invasive ventilation via tracheostomy. 2

18. As a result, UnitedHealth has categorically deemed noninvasive ventilation 3 Not Medically Necessary for ALS patients who are trying to prevent deterioration of 4 breathing related muscles and prolong their lives. Relying on MP.009.29, and as 5 demonstrated by UnitedHealth's denial of Plaintiff's claim, UnitedHealth has categorically 6 denied claims for noninvasive ventilators as not medically necessary without regard to a 7 member's medical condition, need, or qualification for the device. 8

19. Contrary to UnitedHealth's factual conclusion in MP.009.29, the use of 9 noninvasive ventilators for ALS patients who do not require 24/7 breathing assistance is 10medically necessary, to prevent deterioration and to prolong life. Noninvasive ventilation 11 has been shown to be effective in prolonging life through peer reviewed literature on 12 clinically demonstrated benefits of the device. Noninvasive ventilation has been used 13 widely by ALS experts across the nation and has received positive coverage 14 recommendations by ALS and other neuromuscular disease specialty organizations such 15 as the ALS Association, the Muscular Dystrophy Association, and ALS Worldwide. 16

17

C.

1

UnitedHealth's denial of Spero Koulouras' claim.

20. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was covered under an ERISA group health 18 plan that was arranged by his employer, CrossFire Group LLC ("Plaintiff's UnitedHealth 19 plan"). Plaintiff's UnitedHealth plan was purchased by his employer from UnitedHealth 20 subsidiary UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, a healthcare service plan regulated by 21 the California Department of Managed Health Care. This ERISA group health plan was at 22 all relevant times administered by UnitedHealth. 23

21. Like all UnitedHealth plans, Plaintiff's UnitedHealth plan covers health 24 services to treat illnesses and injuries. It is an ERISA group health plan because it is 25 arranged by his employer for the benefit of its employees and their dependents. It provides 26 payment for the diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease, or amounts paid 27 for the purpose of affecting any structure or function of the body. 28

1 22. Plaintiff's UnitedHealth plan excludes from coverage treatment for	r services		
2 that are "determined to be Not Medically Necessary". This term is defined in Plair	intiff's		
3 UnitedHealth plan as:	1		
4 or treating a Sickness, Injury, Mental Illness, substance use disorder, c	Health care services provided for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating a Sickness, Injury, Mental Illness, substance use disorder, condition,		
5 our designee.			
6 - Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and	 In accordance with <i>Generally Accepted Standards of Medical Practice</i>. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, 		
 and considered effective for your Sickness, Injury, Mental Illness, s use disorder, disease or its symptoms. 			
8 likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results a	- No more costly than an alternative drug, service(s) or supply that is at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the		
9 Generally Accepted Standards of Medical Practice are standards that are	diagnosis or treatment of your Sickness, Injury, disease or symptoms. Generally Accepted Standards of Medical Practice are standards that are based on		
10 recognized by the relevant medical community, relying primarily on c	credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, relying primarily on controlled		
11 that suggest a causal relationship between the service or treatment an	clinical trials, or if not available, observational studies from more than one institution that suggest a causal relationship between the service or treatment and health		
12 outcomes.			
13 23. Plaintiff has a diagnosis of Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and	id has		
14 received many different treatments to manage the progression of his disease. As a	a result of		
15 Plaintiff's disease, he experiences progressive degeneration of muscle control, mo	iost		
16 recently impacting his ability to breathe. While not yet requiring 24/7 respiratory	recently impacting his ability to breathe. While not yet requiring 24/7 respiratory support,		
17 his shortness of breath affects his speech and impairs his ability to clear his throat	at.		
18 Spirometry tests revealed restrictive lung disease with confirmed diaphragm weak	kness and		
19 severe obstructive sleep apnea. Previously only requiring respiratory support at ni	severe obstructive sleep apnea. Previously only requiring respiratory support at night,		
20 Plaintiff's need for breathing care has progressed to daytime use and, due to the n	Plaintiff's need for breathing care has progressed to daytime use and, due to the nature of		
degenerative disease, will eventually progress to 24/7 use.	degenerative disease, will eventually progress to 24/7 use.		
22 24. In May 2023, Plaintiff's doctors submitted a coverage request for pr	procedure		
code E0466 – "Home ventilator, any type, used with noninvasive interface, (e.g., 2	, mask,		
chest shell)". On May 24, 2023, UnitedHealth sent a letter to Plaintiff stating that	t the		
25 ventilator was not a Covered Service. According to the Durable Medical Equipme	nent		
26 section of Plaintiff's plan, "Benefits are available only for the equipment that mee	eets the		
27 minimum specifications for your needs". UnitedHealth's May 24 denial letter stat	ates, "This		
28 home breathing machine request does not meet your health plan criteria because i	it exceeds		
8 COMPLAINT			

what is needed for your care". UnitedHealth determined, based on its own criteria, that
 Plaintiff did not need the level of respiratory support that his doctors prescribed for him
 based on their medical expertise and knowledge of his health needs. This letter
 acknowledged that UnitedHealth was in possession of Plaintiff's medical records
 regarding this coverage request.

25. After Plaintiff's doctors submitted an appeal, UnitedHealth issued a second 6 denial on November 21, 2023. This time, UnitedHealth claimed that the request was not 7 medically necessary due to lack of medical records proving why Plaintiff needed this 8 ventilator. The letter states the request may be reviewed again once medical records are 9 received. Plaintiff and his medical team did indeed submit all required medical records as 10listed in this denial letter. On November 27, 2023, Plaintiff submitted a second appeal 11 along with all medical records, related journal articles about NIV, and his doctors' 12 explanation of medical necessity. On or about December 11 and December 13, 2023, 13 United Health again rejected Plaintiff's appeals. 14

15

27

28

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly
situated as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23. Pursuant to
Rule 23(b)(1) and (b)(2), Plaintiff seeks certification of the following classes:

19	a.	All individuals covered under ERISA health plans, self-funded or fully
20		insured, that are administered by UnitedHealth and who were diagnosed
21		with ALS and whose request for a non-invasive home ventilator was denied
22		under UnitedHealth's Medical Policy "Durable Medical Equipment,
23		Orthotics, Medical Supplies, and Repairs/Replacements" as not meeting the
24		health plan criteria because it exceeded what was needed for the individual's
25		care.
26	b.	All individuals covered under ERISA health plans, self-funded or fully

b. All individuals covered under ERISA health plans, self-funded or fully insured, that are administered by UnitedHealth and who were diagnosed with ALS and whose request for a non-invasive home ventilator was denied

Case 2	:24-cv-06460	-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed 07/31/24 Page 10 of 19 Page ID #:10	
1		because it did not show "medical necessity" under the criteria of	
2		UnitedHealth's Medical Policy "Durable Medical Equipment, Orthotics,	
3	Medical Supplies, and Repairs/Replacements".		
4	27.	Plaintiff and the class members reserve the right under Federal Rule of Civil	
5	Procedure Rule $23(c)(1)(C)$ to amend or modify the class to include greater specificity, by		
6	further divis	ion into subclasses, or by limitation to particular issues.	
7	28.	This action has been brought and may be properly maintained as a class	
8	action under	the provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23 because it	
9	meets the rec	quirements of Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(1) and (b)(2).	
10	А.	Numerosity.	
11	29.	The potential members of the proposed class as defined as so numerous that	
12	joinder of all the members of the proposed class is impracticable. While the precise		
13	number of proposed class members has not been determined at this time, Plaintiff is		
14	informed and	d believes that there are a substantial number of individuals covered under	
15	UnitedHealt	n plans who have been similarly affected.	
16	В.	Commonality.	
17	30.	Common questions of law and fact that pertain to all class members, which	
18	predominate	over other questions that affect only individual class members, include,	
19	without limitation:		
20	a.	Whether United Health's application under MP.009.29 of criteria that are	
21		specific to sleep apnea and COPD to ALS patients' requests for coverage for	
22		non-invasive home ventilators violates its fiduciary duties under 29 U.S.C. §	
23		1104(a);	
24	b.	Whether United Health's application under MP.009.29 of criteria that	
25		provide that ventilators are not medically necessary when used for the	
26		treatment of a condition wherein interruption of respiratory support would	
27		not immediately lead to serious harm or death to ALS patients' requests for	
28			
		10 COMPLAINT	
l			

1		under 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a);
2	c.	Whether United Health's failure to apply medical policies with criteria that
3		are relevant and appropriate to ALS patients' requests for non-invasive
4		home ventilators when making coverage decisions violates its fiduciary
5		duties under 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a);
6	d.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty of loyalty under 29 U.S.C. §
7		1104(a)(1)(A) by creating MP.009.29 which erroneously classifies the use of
8		non-invasive home ventilators by ALS patients as not medically necessary,
9		thereby categorically excluding coverage under all UnitedHealth plans;
10	e.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty of loyalty under 29 U.S.C. §
11		1104(a)(1)(A) by instructing claims personnel to implement MP.009.29 for
12		non-invasive home ventilators claims by ALS patients and to deny those
13		claims on the basis they are not medically necessary, thereby categorically
14		excluding coverage under all UnitedHealth plans;
15	f.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty of loyalty under 29 U.S.C. §
16		1104(a)(1)(A) by violating 29 Code of Federal Regulations § 2560.503-
17		1(g)(1)(i) because MP.009.29 provides no rationale as to how treatment with
18		non-invasive home ventilators could be medically necessary based on actual
19		clinical studies and the widespread acceptance of non-invasive home
20		ventilators by the ALS medical community;
21	g.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty of due care under 29 U.S.C. §
22		1104(a)(1)(B) by creating MP.009.29 which erroneously classifies the use of
23		non-invasive home ventilators by ALS patients as not medically necessary,
24		thereby categorically excluding coverage under all UnitedHealth plans;
25	h.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty of due care under 29 U.S.C. §
26		1104(a)(1)(B) by instructing claims personnel to implement MP.009.29 for
27		non-invasive home ventilators claims by ALS patients and to deny those
28		claims on the basis they are not medically necessary, thereby categorically
		11
		COMPLAINT

1		excluding coverage under all UnitedHealth plans;
2	i.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty of due care under 29 U.S.C. §
3		1104(a)(1)(B) by violating 29 Code of Federal Regulations § 2560.503-
4		1(g)(1)(i) because MP.009.29 provides no rationale as to how treatment with
5		non-invasive home ventilators could be medically necessary based on actual
6		clinical studies and the widespread acceptance of non-invasive home
7		ventilators by the ALS medical community;
8	j.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty to comply with plan terms under 29
9		U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(D) by creating MP.009.29 which erroneously classifies
10		the use of non-invasive home ventilators by ALS patients as not medically
11		necessary, thereby categorically excluding coverage under all UnitedHealth
12		plans;
13	k.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty to comply with plan terms under 29
14		U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(D) by instructing claims personnel to implement
15		MP.009.29 for requests for non-invasive home ventilators by ALS patients
16		and to deny those claims on the basis they are not medically necessary,
17		thereby categorically excluding coverage under all UnitedHealth plans;
18	1.	Whether UnitedHealth violated its duty to comply with plan terms under 29
19		U.S.C. § $1104(a)(1)(D)$ by violating 29 Code of Federal Regulations §
20		2560.503-1(g)(1)(i) because MP.009.29 provides no rationale as to how
21		treatment with non-invasive home ventilators could be medically necessary
22		based on actual clinical studies and the widespread acceptance of non-
23		invasive home ventilators by the ALS medical community.
24	m.	Whether UnitedHealth's wrongful actions caused the deprivation of benefits
25		under UnitedHealth plans for participants and their beneficiaries and
26		increased the reasonable expenses of administering the plan because the
27		systemic denials of claims for non-invasive home ventilators made by ALS
28		patients has resulted in loss of benefits, needless appeals, and other
		12
		COMPLAINT

expenses.

C. Typicality.

31. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed
class because all members of the class had coverage for their requests for non-invasive
home ventilators denied as part of United Health's policy and practice of wrongfully
applying Medical Policy MP.009.29 to ALS patients' requests for non-invasive home
ventilators. Plaintiff and all members of the class are similarly affected by UnitedHealth's
wrongful conduct.

9

D.

E.

F.

1

2

Adequacy of representation.

32. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the
 members of the proposed class. Counsel who represent Plaintiff are competent and
 experienced in litigating large and complex class actions, including class actions against
 health plans such as UnitedHealth.

14

Superiority of class action.

33. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and
efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all members of the
proposed class is not practicable, and common questions of law and fact exist as to all
class members.

34. Class action treatment will allow those similarly situated persons to litigate
their claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the
judicial system. Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in
the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

23

Rule 23(b) requirements.

24 35. Inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of
25 the class would establish incompatible standards of conduct for UnitedHealth.

26 36. Adjudications with respect to individual class members would be dispositive
27 of the interests of the other members not parties to the individual adjudications or would
28 substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

37. UnitedHealth has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to 1 the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory 2 relief with respect to the class as a whole. 3 4 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 5 **DENIAL OF PLAN BENEFITS AND FOR CLARIFICATION OF RIGHTS** 6 UNDER AN ERISA PLAN [29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B)] 7 38. Plaintiff and the class members repeat and reallege each and every allegation 8 set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. 9 39. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B) entitles Plaintiff to recover benefits due and to 10enforce and clarify his rights to the benefits at issue. 11 40. As alleged herein, Plaintiff's UnitedHealth plan provides surgical, hospital, 12 and in-home medical services on both an inpatient and outpatient basis to treat illness and 13 injury, including coverage for prosthetic devices, durable medical equipment, professional 14 services, and other medical services. 15 41. As alleged herein, Plaintiff requested that UnitedHealth authorize coverage 16 for a non-invasive ventilation device, as recommended by his treatment team, to assist 17 with breathing as his ALS causes progressive deterioration to his muscles. 18 42. As alleged herein, UnitedHealth has followed a policy and practice of 19 denying claims for non-invasive ventilators to ALS patients on the basis the device is not 20 medically necessary under UnitedHealth's policy MP.009.29 and, therefore, the request 21 did not meet the UnitedHealth plan's criteria. 22 43. Pursuant to its practice, UnitedHealth denied Plaintiff's request for a 23 noninvasive ventilator on the basis that its use is not medically necessary under 24 MP.009.29. However, the use of noninvasive ventilators for ALS patients is medically 25 necessary under the pertinent plan provisions. NIV has been shown to be effective at 26 prolonging lifespan in ALS patients by peer reviewed literature, as well as patient 27

28

14

outcomes over the more than 15 years of NIV being the standard treatment.

Case 2	:24-cv-06460-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed 07/31/24 Page 15 of 19 Page ID #:15		
1	44. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies, as alleged above.		
2	45. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and the class members seek reevaluation		
2	and reprocessing of their requests for non-invasive ventilators without the requirement of		
4	showing medical necessity under Medical Policy MP.009.29 and instead under appropriate		
5	and valid medical criteria; a clarification of future rights; and attorneys' fees.		
6			
7	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
8	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY AND EQUITABLE RELIEF UNDER AN		
9	ERISA PLAN [29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3)]		
10	46. Plaintiff and the class members repeat and reallege each and every allegation		
11	set forth in all of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.		
12	47. As alleged herein, UnitedHealth has acted as an ERISA fiduciary with		
13	respect to the administration and claims decisions under UnitedHealth plans and, in		
14	particular, has acted as an ERISA fiduciary in denying claims for non-invasive ventilators,		
15	as alleged herein.		
16	48. As alleged herein, Plaintiff's UnitedHealth plan provides surgical, hospital,		
17	and in-home medical services on both an inpatient and outpatient basis to treat illness and		
18	injury, including coverage for prosthetic devices, durable medical equipment, professional		
19	services, and other medical services.		
20	49. As alleged herein, Plaintiff requested that UnitedHealth authorize coverage		
21	for a non-invasive ventilator to help him breathe as his ALS progresses, as recommended		
22	by his medical team.		
23	50. As alleged herein, UnitedHealth has followed a policy and practice of		
24	denying claims for non-invasive ventilators to ALS patients on the basis that the use of the		
25	device is not medically necessary under UnitedHealth's policy MP.009.29.		
26	51. Pursuant to its policy and practice, UnitedHealth denied Plaintiff's request		
27	for treatment with a non-invasive ventilator on the basis that the use of such ventilators is		
28	not medically necessary. However, the use of a non-invasive ventilator for patients 15		
	COMPLAINT		

Case 2;:24-cv-06460-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed 07/31/24 Page 16 of 19 Page ID #:16

suffering from ALS is medically necessary under the pertinent plan provisions. Non invasive ventilators have been scientifically proven to not only prolong the life of the
 patient, but to prolong the time the patient can live without the use of invasive ventilation,
 in the form of tracheostomy.
 52. Pursuant to the 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a), UnitedHealth was required to discharge

5 52. Pursuant to the 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a), UnitedHealth was required to discharge
6 its fiduciary duties with respect to UnitedHealth plans solely in the interests of the
7 participants and beneficiaries and—

a. for the exclusive purpose of:

9

10

8

i. providing benefits to participants and their beneficiaries; and

ii. defraying reasonable expenses of administering the plan;

b. with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then
prevailing that a prudent man acting in a like capacity and familiar with such
matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and
with like aims; and

c. in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the plan
insofar as such documents and instruments are consistent with provisions of
this subchapter and subchapter III.

53. UnitedHealth violated its duty of loyalty under 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(A) 18 by: (a) creating MP.009.29 that erroneously classifies the use of NIV by ALS patients as 19 not medically necessary and excluded under all UnitedHealth plans, as alleged herein; (b) 20 instructing claims personnel to implement MP.009.29 for NIV claims and to deny those 21 claims on the basis they are not medically necessary and excluded; and (c) violating 29 22 Code of Federal Regulations § 2560.503-1(g)(1)(i) because MP.009.29 provides no 23 rationale as to how treatment with NIV could be not medically necessary based on actual 24 clinical studies and the widespread acceptance of NIV by the ALS medical community. 25 These actions by UnitedHealth cause the deprivation of benefits under UnitedHealth plans 26 for participants and their beneficiaries and increase the reasonable expenses of 27 administering the plan because the cause a systemic denial of NIV claims resulting in loss 28

of benefits, needless appeals, and other expenses.

1

54. UnitedHealth violated its duty of due care under 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B) 2 by: (a) creating MP.009.29 that erroneously classifies the use of NIV by ALS patients as 3 not medically necessary and excluded under all UnitedHealth plans, as alleged herein; (b) 4 instructing claims personnel to implement MP.009.29 for NIV claims and to deny those 5 claims on the basis they are not medically necessary and excluded; and (c) violating 29 6 Code of Federal Regulations § 2560.503-1(g)(1)(i) because MP.009.29 provides no 7 rationale as to how treatment with NIV could be not medically necessary based on actual 8 clinical studies and the widespread acceptance of NIV by the ALS medical community. 9 55. UnitedHealth violated its duty to comply with plan terms under 29 U.S.C. § 10 1104(a)(1)(D) by: (a) creating MP.009.29 that erroneously classifies the use of NIV by 11 ALS patients as not medically necessary and excluded under all UnitedHealth plans, as 12 alleged herein; (b) instructing claims personnel to implement MP.009.29 for NIV claims 13 and to deny those claims on the basis they are not medically necessary and excluded; and 14 (c) violating 29 Code of Federal Regulations § 2560.503-1(g)(1)(i) because MP.009.29 15 provides no rationale as to how treatment with NIV could be not medically necessary 16 based on actual clinical studies and the widespread acceptance of NIV by the ALS medical 17 community. 18 56. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), Plaintiff and the class members seek 19 declaratory, equitable and remedial relief as follows: 20 An order declaring that UnitedHealth's denials of NIV claims for ALS a. 21 patients as not medically necessary under MP.009.29 were wrong and 22 improper and that UnitedHealth has violated 29 Code of Federal Regulations 23 §§ 2560.503-1(g)(1)(i) and (g)(1)(v)(B); 24 b. A class-wide injunction requiring UnitedHealth to retract that portion of 25 MP.009.29 it uses to deny requests for NIV for ALS patients as not 26 medically necessary; 27 A class-wide injunction requiring UnitedHealth to provide notice to all class c. 28 17 COMPLAINT

Case 2:24-cv-06460-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed 07/31/24 Page 18 of 19 Page ID #:18

1		members, in the form and manner required by ERISA, of the retraction;	
2	d.	A class-wide injunction requiring UnitedHealth to stop violating 29 Code of	
3		Federal Regulations §§ 2560.503-1(g)(1)(i) and (g)(1)(v)(B);	
4	e.	Plaintiff is not seeking any relief in this Second Claim for Relief under 29	
5		U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3) that is duplicative of the relief sought in the First Claim	
6		for Relief under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B). However, if it is determined that	
7		the reprocessing relief sought in the First Claim for Relief cannot be	
8		awarded because such relief is more appropriately sought in the Second	
9		Claim for Relief, then Plaintiff requests in the claim a reprocessing of the	
10		denied requests for NIV with appropriate instructions for reprocessing;	
11	f.	Surcharge, i.e., an accounting of any profits made by UnitedHealth from the	
12		monies representing the improperly denied claims and disgorgement of any	
13		profits;	
14	g.	Such other equitable and remedial relief as the Court may deem appropriate;	
15		and	
16	h.	Attorneys' fees in an amount to be proven.	
17			
18		REQUEST FOR RELIEF	
19	Wherefore, Plaintiff and the class members pray for judgment against UnitedHealth		
20	as follows:		
21	1.	Reevaluation and reprocessing of the class members' requests for non-	
22		invasive home ventilation with appropriate instructions for reprocessing;	
23	2.	A clarification of rights to future benefits under the plan for all class	
24		members;	
25	3.	Interest;	
26	4.	Injunctive and declaratory relief, as described above;	
27	5.	An accounting of any profits made and retained through the improper denial	
28		of claims and disgorgement of any profits (surcharge);	
		18	
		COMPLAINT	

Case 2	e 2:24-cv-06460-HDV-AJR Document 1 Filed	07/31/24 Page 19 of 19 Page ID #:19
1		
2		l relief as the Court may deem just and
3	3 proper.	
4		
5	5 DATED: July 31, 2024 LAW OFF	FICES OF SCOTT GLOVSKY, APC
6	By: S/S	Scott Glovsky
7		OTT C. GLOVSKY IA ZALBA SELTZ
8	X	rney for Plaintiffs
9	9	
10	0	
11	DITIED: July 51, 2024 ERW 011	FICES OF CHRISTIAN GARRIS
12		
13	CHI	<u>Christian Garris</u> RISTIAN GARRIS
14		rney for Plaintiffs
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		9
	СОМІ	PLAINT